

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

VB

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/057,150 04/07/98 CLARY

D 233/187

022249
LYON & LYON LLP
SUITE 4700
633 WEST FIFTH STREET
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066

HM22/0629

EXAMINER

BASIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1646

13

DATE MAILED:

06/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/057,150

Applicant(s)

CLARY, DOUGLAS

Examiner

Nirmal. S. Basi

Group Art Unit

1646

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 17, 2000☐ This action is **FINAL**.☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1, 6-8, 10, 11, 16-18, and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.Of the above, claim(s) 1, 6-8, 10, 11, 16-18, and 20-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.☒ Claims 1, 6-8, 10, 11, 16-18, and 20-29 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed 4/17/00 has been entered.

2. MPEP 818.12(b) states, "Where only generic claims are first presented and prosecuted in
5 an application in which no election of a single invention has been made, and applicant later
presents species claims to more than one species of the invention, he or she must at the time
indicate an election of a single species". In instant application Amended claims 23, 24 and newly
added claim 28 present species which were not present in the application prior to the Office
Action of 4/07/00. An election of species is presented below.

10

Election of Species

A) Claim 23 generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising species
identified in the claim as: Species I, invention reciting the peptide less than 20 amino acids;
Species II, invention reciting the non-peptide organic molecule; and Species III, invention reciting
15 the an antibody. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species,
even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,
applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to
be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1646

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

5 CFR 1.143).

B) Claim 24 generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising species identified in the claim as: Species I, invention reciting cell size; Species II, invention reciting cell shape; Species III, invention reciting cell proliferation; Species IV, invention reciting cell differentiation; Species V, invention reciting cell survival; Species VI, invention reciting cell death; and Species VII, invention reciting utilization of a metabolic nutrient. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143

C) Claim 28 generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising species identified in the claim as: Species I, invention reciting protein comprising an SH2 domain;

Art Unit: 1646

Species II, invention reciting protein comprising an SH3 domain; Species III, invention reciting guanine nucleotide exchange factor; Species IV, invention reciting protein phosphatase; Species V, invention reciting protein kinase. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

5 Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10 D) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, invention reciting the “phenotype is a protein kinase catalytic activity of said C-RET receptor protein”.

15 Species II, inventions reciting the “phenotype is an interaction between said C-RET receptor protein and a natural binding partner of C-RET”

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 23 is generic.

20 Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

Art Unit: 1646

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

15

20

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nirmal Basi whose telephone number is (703) 308-9435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0294.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Nirmal S. Basi
Art Unit 1646
June 26, 2000

Elizabeth C. Kemmerer

ELIZABETH KEMMERER
PRIMARY EXAMINER